

F

EGYPTIAN ACADEMIC JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES TOXICOLOGY & PEST CONTROL



ISSN 2090-0791

WWW.EAJBS.EG.NET

Vol. 14 No. 1 (2022)

www.eajbs.eg.net

 Egypt. Acad. J. Biolog. Sci., 14(1):55-62(2022)

 Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences

 F. Toxicology & Pest Control

 ISSN: 2090 - 0791

 http://eajbsf.journals.ekb.eg/

The Larval-Pupal Parasitoid, *Enicospilus repentinus* (Hol.) and Resistant Varieties as Bio-Agents for Regulating Populations of *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd. in the Egyptian Sugar Beet Fields

El-Sheikh, M. F.¹; B. M. Eldefrawy² and Rania E. F. Mashaal¹

1 -Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Egypt

2- Economic Entomology& Agricultural Zoology Dept., Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, Egypt.

E-mail* : El-Sheikh@agr.tanta.edu.eg

ARTICLEINFO

Article History Received: 23/12/2021 Accepted: 27/1/2022 Available:29/1/2022

Keywords: Scrobipalpa ocellatella, Enicospilus repentinus, resistant varieties, Sugar beet, Fields.

ABSTRACT

Sugar beet is widely grown across the world as a source of sucrose. Like all crops, sugar beet suffers threats to achieving maximum yield due to a range of pests and careful management of these threats is required to limit yield loss. One pest which poses a threat to sugar beet crops all over the world *Scrobipalpa ocellatella Boyd*. Currently, insect pest control in sugar beet fields depends on integrated pest management (IPM) programs to avoid using insecticides. IPM of sugar beet insect pests could be achieved through applying combinations of practices such as parasitoids and resistant varieties. Thus, the present paper was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate Egypt in 2019/ 20 and 2020/21 seasons.

Findings have succeeded to recorded the larval-pupal parasitoid, *Enicospilus repentinus* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) on *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd. for the first time in Egyptian sugar beet fields. Seasonal parasitism was 48.83, 50.87 and 62.76% to the three cultivations, respectively in season 2019/20. As, 42.85, 41.50 and 48.45% to the three cultivations, respectively in season 2020/21. Alauda, Maimound and Clgogne varieties are resistant to *S. ocellatella* whereas, Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis are susceptible. Consequently, *E. repentinus* parasitoid and resistant varieties may be used in IPM program against *S. ocellatella* under the Egyptian sugar beet conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L. (Family: Chenopodiaceae) is planted in about 40 countries of the world and accounts for 40-45% of the world's total sugar production. It is one of the most important sugar crops and considers the first crop for sugar production in Egypt since 2013 (El- Shafey, 2014). In Egypt, the total grown area of this crop is about 650000 Faddans in 2020 season (Anonymous, 2021). The Egyptian government encourages sugar beet growers to increase the cultivated area with sugar beet to raise sugar production and decrease the gap between sugar production and consumption (Mirvat *et al.*, 2014). It encourages the growers to grow sugar beet instead of sugar cane as a water-saving measure (Khalifa, 2017). Sugar beet crop attack by numerous insect pests beginning from seed

germination up to harvest (Bazazo, 2005; Bazazo, 2010; Khalifa, 2018; El-Dessouki, 2019 and Fatma H. Hegazy and El-Sheikh, 2021). These insect pests proved to reduce the crop quality (Sugar percent) and quantity (roots weight per feddan) (Shalaby *et al.*, 2011; Rashed, 2017; Neamat, 2018 and Bazazo, 2019).

Scrobipalpa ocellatella Boyd. (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) one of the most destructive insects to sugar beet crop that causes roots weight and sugar percent yield loss (Ahmadi et al., 2018). In Egypt (Abo-Saied Ahmed (1998) reported that severe infestation of sugar beet with this insect caused a significant reduction of 38.20 and 52.40% in root weight and sugar content (%), respectively.Many authors recorded assorted species of parasitoids on this insect. They proved the efficiency of these parasitoids in controlling this insect population like; *Trichogramma evanescences* West (Marie, 2004 and Mesbah et al., 2004), *Pachycrepoidens Vinedemmiae* (Rondani) (El-Serway, 2008). Agathis sp. (Bazazo, 2010), *Microchelonus subcontracts* and Bracon intercessor Nees (Abbasipour et al., 2012), Diadegma pusio L. (Abbasipour et al., 2013), Diadegma sp. (Khalifa, 2018), Diadeama oranginator Aubert (Bazazo and Ibrahim, 2019) and Diadegma aegyptiator (Bazazo and Hassan, 2021).

Resistant varieties are an economical and environmentally friendly method of pest control. It plays a vital role in reducing crop losses and protecting the environment. Numerous investigators studied the importance of resistant varieties in managing sugar beet insects without using insecticides such as; Abou El-Kassem (2010) recorded Oscarpoly and Farida as the least resistant cultivars, while Lados the most resistant, Also, Neamat (2018) showed that Marwa and Meralda are the most resistant, as Dreaman and Mirage were the most susceptible to sugar beet insects.

So, this present work was done to research and identify new parasitoids on this insect. In addition to the role of resistant varieties in regulating their populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect and Parasitoids:

=

This research was performed at the Experimental farm of Sakha Agricultural Research Station, Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate during 2019/20 and 2020/21 seasons. One faddan was cultivated with pleno variety on 20th August, 20th September and 21st October during the three cultivations, respectively in 2019/20. On 25th August, 19th September and 20th October to the three cultivations, respectively in 2020/21. The full-grown larvae were gathered by a fine brush from the prior field, 30 plants each sampling date. The larvae were put into paper bags and transmitted to the laboratory and put into Petri dishes (9cm) with pieces of sugar beet leaves, till the later instar under laboratory condition ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ c, 60-70 R.H.). After that, the pupae were posited into another Petri dish till parasitoids or moths emergence. The sampling date is from 30 November to 30 January, 20 December to 20 February and 20 January to 30 March for the three cultivations, respectively in 2019/20. Also, from 29 November to 29 January, 19 December to 19 February and 19 January to 19 March throughout the three cultivations, respectively in 2020/21. Parasitism (%) was calculated on every sampling date. The percentage of parasitism was calculated according to the following formula: -

Parasitism % <u>No. of emerged parasitoid adults</u> ×100

No. of S. ocellatella pupae

Parasitoids were identified by the Department of Insect Taxonomy at the Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt. The specimens were identified as *E. repentinus*. The correlation coefficient between the number of *S. ocellatella* pupae and their associated parasitoid, *E. repentinus* was determined for the three cultivations during the first and second seasons.

Resistant Varieties:

This experiment was done in another sugar beet field to evaluate the prorated susceptibility of six sugar beet varieties called; Alauda, Maimouna, Clgogne, Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis to infestation with the beet moth, *S. ocellatella*. The experimental area for each variety was 63 m2 divided into 3 replicates (each replicate 21 m²). A completely randomized block design was applied. The previous varieties were planted on 20th October during the two seasons; 2019/20 and 2020/21. All recommended agricultural practices were followed along the growing seasons without any insecticide applications. The examination by the visual record was taken on 30 January, 20 February and 20 March in 2019/20. Also, on 29 January, 22 February and 25 March in 2020/21. Thirty sugar beet plants (10 plants/replicate) for each sampling date were examined. The numbers of larvae and infested plants were counted every date.

Statistical Analysis:

Data of all parameters were statistically analyzed using analysis of Variance (ANOVA) according to the standard procedure of Shedecor and Cochran (1980), and the means were compared using L.S.D. test to check difference at 5% significant level. All statistical analyses were performed with a software package CostateR statistical software, version 6.311 (Costet statistical software, 2005), a product of Cohort software, Monterey, California.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seasonal Parasitism:

The data presented in Table (1) indicated that in the first season 2019/20, the percentage of parasitism caused by the larval-pupal parasitoid, *E. repentinus* on the beet moth, *S. ocellatella* ranged between (0.00 to 100 %), (0.00 to 75.00%) and (27.27 to 85.71%) for the three sugar beet cultivations, respectively during 2019/20 season. Also, 43, 57 and 94 pupae were obtained in total, 21, 29 and 59 of which were parasitized to the three cultivations, respectively. Results also, revealed that the average rate of seasonal parasitism recorded 48.83, 50.87 and 62.76% to the three cultivations, respectively.

| | 1 st Cultivation | | | 2 nd Cultivation | | | 3 rd Cultivation | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Date | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism |
| 30/11 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10/12 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20/12 | 4 | 2 | 50.00 | 3 | 0 | 0.00 | - | - | - |
| 30/12 | 6 | 2 | 33.33 | 5 | 2 | 40.00 | - | - | - |
| 10/1 | 8 | 4 | 50.00 | 6 | 2 | 33.33 | - | - | - |
| 20/1 | 11 | 6 | 54.54 | 9 | 3 | 33.33 | 8 | 3 | 37.50 |
| 30/1 | 11 | 6 | 54.54 | 10 | 4 | 40.00 | 11 | 3 | 27.27 |
| 10/2 | - | - | - | 12 | 9 | 75.00 | 11 | 5 | 45.45 |
| 20/2 | - | - | - | 12 | 9 | 75.00 | 13 | 6 | 46.15 |
| 10/3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 11 | 78.57 |
| 20/3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 13 | 81.25 |
| 30/3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | 18 | 85.71 |
| Seasonal parasitism | 43 | 21 | 48.83 | 57 | 29 | 50.87 | 94 | 59 | 62.76 |
| Parasitoid- host ratio | 1: 2.04 | | | 1: 1.96 | | | 1: 1.59 | | |

Table 1: Seasonal parasitism of E. repentinus on S. ocellatella during 2019/20 season.

* No. of pupae **No. of parasitoids

As shown in Table (2) the percentage of parasitism in the second season 2020/21, varying from (0.00 to 100 %), (0.00 to 54.54%) and (0. 00 to 86.36%) for the three cultivations, respectively. Also, 21, 53 and 97 pupae were obtained in total, 9, 22 and 47 of which were parasitized to the three cultivations, respectively. While the average rate of seasonal parasitism was 42.85, 41.50 and 48.45 % to the three cultivations, respectively. Also, these tables indicate that the parasitoid-host ratio was 1: 2.04, 1: 1.96 and 1: 1.59 for the three cultivations, respectively in 2019/20. In 2020/21 season, 1: 2.33, 1: 2.40 and 1: 2.06 to the three cultivations, respectively.

| Date | 1 st Cultivation | | | 2 nd Cultivation | | | 3 rd Cultivation | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism | No.* | No.* * | % Parasitism |
| 29/11 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9/12 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19/12 | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | 2 | 1 | 50.00 | - | - | - |
| 29/12 | 3 | 1 | 33.33 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | - | - | - |
| 9/1 | 5 | 3 | 60.00 | 7 | 3 | 42.85 | - | - | - |
| 19/1 | 5 | 2 | 40.00 | 9 | 3 | 33.33 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 29/1 | 6 | 2 | 33.33 | 9 | 4 | 44.44 | 10 | 2 | 20.00 |
| 9/2 | - | - | - | 11 | 5 | 45.45 | 10 | 3 | 30.00 |
| 19/2 | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | 54.54 | 15 | 7 | 46.66 |
| 28/2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 7 | 43.75 |
| 9/3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 9 | 50.00 |
| 19/3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 19 | 86.36 |
| Seasonal parasitism | 21 | 9 | 42.85 | 53 | 22 | 41.50 | 97 | 47 | 48.45 |
| Parasitoid- host ratio | 1: 2.33 | | 1: 2.40 | | | 1: 2.06 | | | |

Table 2: Seasonal parasitism of E. repentiuns on S. ocellatella during 2020/21 season.

A highly significant correlation coefficient values were calculated according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989), between *S. ocellatella* pupae and their parasitoid, *E. repentinus* during 2019/20 and 2020/21 seasons in Table (3). Highly positive significant correlations values of "r" were 0.966^{**} , 0.920^{**} and 0.957^{**} in the three cultivations, respectively in the 2019/20 season. While, values of "r" were 0.881^{**} , 0.921^{**} and 0.944^{***} in the three cultivations, respectively in the 2020/21 season.

Table 3: Correlation coefficient between *S. ocellatella* pupae and associated parasitoid, *E. repentinus* in sugar beet fields, to the three cultivations, during 2019/20 and 2020/21 seasons.

| Relationship | 2019/2020 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| - | 1 st Cultivation | | 2 nd | Cultivation | 3 rd Cultivation | | | |
| | "r" | Status of | "r" | Status of | "r" | Status of | | |
| | value | Significance | value | Significance | value | Significance | | |
| | 0.966 | ** | 0.920 | ** | 0.957 | ** | | |
| S. ocellatella pupae x | 2020/2021 | | | | | | | |
| E. repentinus | 1 st | Cultivation | 2 ⁿ | ^d Cultivation | 3 rd Cultivation | | | |
| | "r" | Status of | "r" | Status of | "r" value | Status of | | |
| | value | Significance | value Significance | | | Significance | | |
| | 0.881 | ** | 0.921 | ** | 0.944 | ** | | |

Also, in Warsaw, Sawoniewicz (1982) indicated that *E. repentinus* is an important parasitoid against larvae and pupae of insects. Hilal (2015) recorded the dominant hosts of *E. repentinus* are *Agrotis ripae* Hub. (Noctuidae), *Lycia hirtaria* Cl. (Geometridae), *Phigalia*

pilosaria Denis (Geometridae) and *Zerynthia ruminaL*. (Papilionidae) these previous hosts are lepidopterous larvae. In Britain's fields, Broad and Shaw (2016) noted that *Enicospilus stephens* is a distinctive genus of primarily nocturnal parasitoids of relatively large Lepidoptera larvae (Lasiocampidae, Geometridae and Notodontidae). Also, Klopfstein *et al.*, (2019) showed that the Ichneumonidae is one of the largest families of insects and the largest in the order Hymenoptera, with more than 25000 species. *E. repentnus* is an important ichneumonide parasitoid in Switzer land.

Resistant Varieties:

Tables (4 and 5) show that Alauda, Maimouna and Clgogne are resistant to *S. ocellatella*. Whereas, Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis are susceptible varieties to this insect during the two seasons. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences among the evaluated varieties. The number of infested plants and larvae is higher in susceptible varieties than resistant ones. In the 2019/20 season, the mean number of the infested plants were; 2.66, 2.33 and 2.66 to Alauda, Maimouna and Clgogne, respectively. As, 16.33, 17.33 and 17.66 to Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis, respectively. Concerning, the mean number of larvae were 3.00, 3.00 and 3.33 to Alauda, maimouna and clgogne, respectively. While, 17.00, 18.66 and 18.00 to Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis, respectively.

In the 2020/21 season, the mean number of the infested plants were; 2.33, 2.00 and 2.00 to Alauda, Maimona and Clgogne, respectively. While, 11.00, 11.33 and 11.00 to Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirtilis, respectively. In case of the mean number of larvae were 2.00, 2.66 and 2.66 to Alauda, Maimouna and Clgogne, respectively. While, 14.00, 13.33 and 13.00 for Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis, respectively.

Many investigators demonstrated the relationship between resistant varieties against insects and sugar beet yield. Resistant varieties are more high yield than susceptible ones. In England, Dewar and Cooke (2006) reported that the sugar beet crop is infested by a wide range of pests that cause damage to the leaves and roots, leading to substantial yield loss. Also, Dewar (2005) concluded that resistant varieties to beet insects are more high yield than susceptible ones. In such Concern, Luczak (1996) showed that resistant varieties to leaf-feeding insects such as beet moth are major elements in increasing sugar beet yield.

| beet varieties daring 2019/20 season. | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Varieties | No. of infested | Mean number of | No. of | Mean number of | Degree | | |
| | plants | infested plants /30 plants | ts /30 plants larvae larvae/ | | Degree | | |
| Alauda | 8 | 2.66 ^b | 9 | 3.00 ^b | Resistant | | |
| Maimouna | 7 | 2.33 ^b | 9 | 3.00 ^b | Resistant | | |
| Clgogne | 8 | 2.66 ^b | 10 | 3.33 ^b | Resistant | | |
| Bts 3980 | 49 | 16.33ª | 51 | 17.00ª | Susceptible | | |
| Bts 8115 | 52 | 17.33ª | 56 | 18.66ª | Susceptible | | |
| Nfirtitis | 53 | 17.66ª | 54 | 18.00ª | Susceptible | | |
| L.D.S 0.05% | | 2. | 98 | | - | | |

Table 4: Seasonal mean number of infested plants and S. ocellatella larvae on certain sugar beet varieties during 2019/20 season.

In a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly differential the level 5%.

| Varieties | No. of infested plants | Mean number of infested plants /30 plants | No. of larvae | Mean number of larvae/ 30 plants | Degree |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Alauda | 7 | 2.33 ^b | 6 | 2.00 ^b | Resistant |
| Maimouna | 6 | 2.00 ^b | 8 | 2.66 ^b | Resistant |
| Clgogne | 6 | 2.00 ^b | 8 | 2.66 ^b | Resistant |
| Bts 3980 | 33 | 11.00ª | 42 | 14.00ª | Susceptible |
| Bts 8115 | 34 | 11.33ª | 40 | 13.33ª | Susceptible |
| Nfirtitis | 33 | 11.00ª | 39 | 13.00ª | Susceptible |
| L.D.S 0.05% | | 3 | .21 | | |

 Table 5: Seasonal mean number of infested plants and S. ocellatella larvae on some sugar beet varieties in 2020/21 season.

In a column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly differential the level 5%.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of the study, the parasitoid, *E. repentinus* was recorded for the first time on the beet moth, *S. ocellatella* in the Egyptian sugar beet fields. This study showed that the sugar beet varieties: Alauda, Maimouna and Clgogne are resistant to *S. ocellatella* in comparison to other varieties: Bts 3980, Bts 8115 and Nefirlitis to this insect. Thus, *E. repentinus* parasitoid and resistant varieties can be applied in IPM program against *S. ocellatella* under the Egyptian sugar beet conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to be very much thankful to Sugar Crops Research Department, Sakha Agricultural Research Station for providing valuable facilities during this study.

REFERENCES

- Aabo El-Kassem, A. (2010). Ecological and biological studies on some insects of sugar beet plants at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. A Doctor of Philosophy, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, 221 pp.
- Abbasipour H., Basij M., Mahmoud M., Yazdinejad A. (2013). First report of the parasitoid wasp, *Diadegma Pusio* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) from Iran. *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran*, 32 (2): 127-128.
- Abbasipour H., Mahmoud M., Basij M., Lozan A. (2012). First report of the parasitoid wasps, *Microchelonus subcontractus* and *Bracon* interessor (Hym: Braconidae) from Iran, *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran*, 32(1): 89-92.
- Abo-Saied Ahmed A. (1998). Economic injury level of the main defoliator insects on sugar beet plants. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Mansoura University*, 23 (1) : 405-418.
- Ahmadi F., Moharramipor S., Mikami A. (2018). The effect of temperature and photoperiod on diapause induction in pupae of *S. ocellatella*. *Environmental Entomology*, 47 (5): 1314 1322.
- Anonymous (2021). Annual report of 2020 Season. Council for sugar Crops, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt.
- Bazazo K.G. (2005). Studies on insect predators and spiders in sugar beet fields at Kafr El-Sheikh region. Master of Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh, Tanta University, 143 PP.
- Bazazo K.G. (2010). Studies on some insect pests and natural enemies in sugar beet fields at Kafr El-Sheikh region. A Doctor of Philosophy, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, 139 PP.
- Bazazo K.G. (2019). The role of cotton leafworms control with certain insecticides in increasing sugar beet crop productivity. *Zagazig Journal of Agricultural Research*, 46 (6B): 2229-2238. https://dx.doi.org/10.21608/zjar.2019.65074

- Bazazo K.G., Ibrahim A. (2019). New record of *Diadegma oranginater* Aubert as parasitoid of *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd. in Egyptian Sugar fields. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 15 (2) 289- 294. http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/egysebz.20191209061731
- Bazazo K.G., Hassan H. (2021). *Diadegma aegyphiator* Shaumer (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae): New record parasitoid on the rib miner, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd. (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in Egyptian sugar beet fields. *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura University*, (12)3:229-231. http://dx. doi.org/10.21608/jppp.2021.155790
- Broad G., Shaw M. (2016). The British species of *Enicospilus* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae: Ophioninae). *European Journal of Taxonomy*, 187:1-31. http://dx. doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2016.187
- Dewar A. (2005). Beet cyst nematodes a peril in the soil. *British Sugar Beet Review*, 73:40-46.
- Dewar A., Cooke A. (2006). Pests. In sugar Beet, pp. 316 -358. Ed. P. Draycott. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- El-Dessouki A. (2019). Ecological studies on some sugar beet insect pests and their control. A Doctor of Philosophy, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University, 239 PP.
- El-Serway S. (2008). Pachycrepoideus vinedemmiae, a new record parasioid (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) on pupae of the beet leaf miner, Pegomyia mixta will. (Diptera: Anthonyidae) and the beet moth, Scrobipalpa ocellatella Boyd. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research, 86 (1): 43-63.
- El-Shafey A. (2014). Sugar beet cultivation in new lands Sugar Research Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Land Reclamation, Egypt (in Arabic).
- Fatma H. Hegazy, El-Sheikh M.F. (2021). Role of parasitoids, conventional insecticides and ecdysone agonists in regulating population of *Cassida vittata* vill. in Egyptian sugar beet fields. *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura University*, (12)9: 569 – 573. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/jppp.2021.193801
- Hilal C. (2015). Isparta ili atabey ilcesi ve Cevresinde (Ichneumonidae) alt familyalari Uzerine Farmistik Ve sistematrik arastirma. Ph.D. Thesis, Suleyman Demirel Univ., 53 pp.
- Khalifa A. (2017). Population dynamics of insect pests and their associated predators at different plantations of Sugar beet. *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology*, *Mansoura University*, 8 (12):651- 656. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/jppp.2017. 46952
- Khalifa A. (2018). Natural enemies of certain insect pests attacking sugar beet plants at Kafr El- Sheikh Governorate. *Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura University*, 9 (8): 507-510. http://dx.doi.org/10.21608/jppp.2018.43742
- Klopfstein S., Riedel M., Schwarz M. (2019). Checklist of ichneumonid was in Switzerland: 470 species new for the country and an appraisal of the alpine diversity. *Alpine Entomology*, 3: 51- 81. http://dx.doi.org/10.3897/alpento.3.31613
- Luczak I. (1996). Mechanisms of resistance to the beat fly in sugar beet. *Plant Breeding and Seed Science*, 40: 109 116.
- Marie S. (2004). Use of the egg Parasitoid, *Trichogramma evanescens* West. for, controlling the rib miner, *Scrobipalpa ocellatella* Boyd. in sugar beet in Egypt. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 14 (2): 371- 374.
- Mesbah I., Abou-Attia F., Metwally S., Bassyouni A., Shalaby G. (2004). Utilization of biological control agents for controlling Some sugar beet insect pests at Kafr El-Sheikh region. *Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control*, 14 (1): 195 -1.
- Mirvat E., Tawfik M., Zaghloul M., Amin A. (2014). Effect of combined application of different micronutrients on productivity and quality of sugar beet plants (*Beta*

vulgaris L.). International Journal of plant and Soil Science, 3(6): 589-598. https://doi.org/10.9734/IJPSS/2014/8193

- Neamat A. (2018). Integrated control of sugar beet pests. Master of Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, 93 pp.
- Rashed B.M. (2017). Toxicological studies on some insect pests of sugar beet in Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate. Master of Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt, 107 PP.
- Sawoniewicz J. (1982). Ichneumonidae (Hymenoptera) of Warsaw and Mazovia. *Memorabilia Zoologica*, 36: 5 39.
- Shalaby G., Kassem S., Bazazo K. (2011). Efficacy of microbial Biocides in controlling cotton leafworm attacking early sugar beet plantation, and side effect on natural enemies. *Journal of Agricultural Research, Kafr El-Sheikh University*, 37 (4): 658-667.
- Snedecor G., Cochran W. (1980). Statistical Methods, 12th ed. Iowa State Univ, Press, Ames Iowa, USA.

ARABIC SUMMARY

دور الطفيل اليرقي-العذري (Hol.) Enicospilus repentinus والأصناف المقاومة كعناصر حيوية في الحد من عشيرة عشرة حشرة فراشة البنجر في الحقول المصرية لبنجر السكر

محمد فاضل محمود الشيخ 1، باسم محمد أحمد الدفراوي² و رانيا السيد فهمي مشعل 1 ¹ قسم وقاية النبات – الحشرات الاقتصادية – كلية الزراعة – جامعة طنطا – مصر ² قسم الحشرات الاقتصادية والحيوان الزراعى – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية – مصر

يزرع بنجر السكر على نطاق واسع في جميع أنحاء العالم كمصدر للسكروز. يعاني بنجر السكر مثل جميع المحاصيل من تهديدات لتحقيق أقصى قدر من المحصول بسبب مجموعة من الآفات ، ويلزم بحرص إدارة لهذه التهديدات للحد من فقد المحصول. وتعتبر فراشة البنجر أحد الآفات التي تشكل تهديدًا لمحاصيل بنجر السكر في جميع أنحاء العالم. حاليًا ، تعتمد مكافحة الأفات الحشرية في حقول بنجر السكر على برامج الإدارة المتكاملة للآفات (IPM) لتجنب استخدام المبيدات الحشرية. يمكن تحقيق المكافحة المتكاملة للأفات الحشرية في بنجر السكر من خلال تطبيق مجموعة من المعارسات مثل الطفيليات والأصناف المقاومة. ولذلك تم إجراء هذا البحث بالمزرعة التجريبية بمحطة البحوث الزراعية بسخا بمحافظة كفر الشيخ مصر في موسمي 20/2019 و 20/2020.

نجحت النتائج في تسجيل الطفيل (Enicospilus repentinus (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) اليرقي-العذري لحشرة فراشة البنجر ، لأول مرة في الحقول المصرية لبنجر السكر. بلغت نسبة التطفل الموسمي 48.83 و 50.87 و 62.76٪ للعروات الثلاثة على التوالي في موسم 20/2019. كما بلغت 42.85 و 41.50 و 48.45٪ للعروات الثلاثة على الترتيب في موسم 21/2020. وكانت أصناف Alauda و Maimound و Clgogne مقاومة لحشرة فراشة البنجر ، في حين أن أصناف 3980 Bts و 151 Bts و المتكانية للإصابة. وبالتالي ، يمكن استخدام الطفيل E. repentinus و الاصناف المقاومة في برنامج المكافحة المتكاملة للأفات ضد حشرة فراشة البنجر على بنجر السكر تحت الظروف المصرية.

كلمات مفتاحيه: فراشة البنجر - الطفيل Enicospilus repentinus - الاصناف المقاومة - بنجر السكر- الحقل.